

CHRISTOPHER CHIOU
Acting United States Attorney
Nevada Bar No. 14853
MELANEE SMITH
Assistant United States Attorney
501 Las Vegas Blvd., South, Ste. 1100
Las Vegas, NV 89101
(702) 388-6336
Melanee.Smith@usdoj.gov
Attorneys for the United States

TELIA MARY U. WILLIAMS
Nevada Bar No. 9359
Law Office of Telia U. Williams
10161 Park Run Dr., Ste. 150
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145
Phone: 702.835-6866
telia@telialaw.com
Attorney for Defendant
Christopher Coolidge

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

* * *

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	2:18-CR-00318-JCM-DJA
)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
v.)	CONTINUE SENTENCING
)	
CHRISTOPHER KENNETH COOLIDGE,)	
)	
)	(Sixth Request)
Defendant.)	
_____)	

It is hereby stipulated by and between Christopher Kenneth Coolidge, Defendant, by and through his counsel, Telia Mary U. Williams, Esq., and the United States of America, by and through its counsel, Melanee Smith, Assistant United States Attorney, that the sentencing date in

1 the above-captioned matter currently scheduled for February 9, 2022, at the hour of 10:30 a.m.,
2 be vacated and continued by ninety (90) days at a date and time convenient to this Honorable
3 Court.
4

5 This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

- 6 1. Counsel for the Defendant needs more time to adequately prepare for sentencing.
7 She has not been able to confer sufficiently with the Defendant for sentencing
8 because she had a death in the family and its currently helping the family with the
9 estate. This unusually burdensome schedule has made preparing the Defendant
10 for his sentencing extremely difficult, especially because the Defendant lives out
11 of state.
- 12 2. In addition, Counsel would like to take the time to research more of the
13 Defendant's background, and several new appellate cases that may bear on his
14 sentence in this case.
- 15 3. The Defendant is currently out of custody on Pretrial Release in the State of
16 Washington. He does not object to the continuance.
- 17 4. Counsel for the Government has no objection to the continuance.
- 18 5. Denial for this request for continuance would deny defense counsel sufficient
19 time and the opportunity within which to effectively and thoroughly prepare for
20 sentencing, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 21 6. Accordingly, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage
22 of justice.
- 23 7. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a
24 continuance of the sentencing date.
25

26 / / /

27 / / /

8. This is the sixth request for a continuance of the sentencing date in this case.

DATED this 1st day of February, 2022.

LAW OFFICE OF TELIA U. WILLIAMS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

/s/Telia Mary U. Williams
Telia Mary U. Williams, Esq.
10161 Park Run Drive
Suite 150
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145

/s/ Melanee Smith
Melanee Smith, Esq.
Assistant United States Attorney
501 Las Vegas Boulevard South. #1100
Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) 2:18-CR-00318-JCM-DJA
)
Plaintiff,)
) ORDER
v.)
)
CHRISTOPHER KENNETH COOLIDGE,)
)
)
Defendant.)
_____)

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds:

1. Counsel for the Defendant needs more time to adequately prepare for sentencing. She has not been able to confer sufficiently with the Defendant for sentencing because she had a death in the family and its currently helping the family with the estate. This unusually burdensome schedule has made preparing the Defendant for his sentencing extremely difficult, especially because the Defendant lives out of state.
2. In addition, Counsel would like to take the time to research more of the Defendant's background, and several new appellate cases that may bear on his sentence in this case.
3. The Defendant is currently out of custody on Pretrial Release in the State of Washington. He does not object to the continuance.
4. Counsel for the Government has no objection to the continuance.
5. Denial for this request for continuance would deny defense counsel sufficient time and the opportunity within which to effectively and thoroughly prepare for

1 sentencing, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

2 6. Accordingly, denial of this request for continuance would result in a miscarriage
3 of justice.

4 7. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a
5 continuance of the sentencing date.

6 8. This is the sixth request for a continuance of the sentencing date in this case.
7

8 **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

9 Denial of this request for continuance would deny the defendant, Christopher Coolidge,
10 the opportunity to have his counsel adequately prepared for his sentencing.
11

12 As such, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.

13 **ORDER**

14 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the sentencing currently scheduled for February 9,
15 2022 at 10:30am, be continued to the **25th day of May, 2022, at 10:00 a.m.**

16 DATED February 2, 2022.

17
18 
19 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28